

BS



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
 United States Patent and Trademark Office  
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,761	02/08/2001	Shigeru Onoya	12732-013001/ US4610	4159

26171 7590 02/20/2004

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 1425 K STREET, N.W.  
 11TH FLOOR  
 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500

EXAMINER
----------

EISEN, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2674

12

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/778,761

**Applicant(s)**

ONOYA, SHIGERU

**Examiner**

Alexander Eisen

**Art Unit**

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-3 and 6-9, 11, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hirakata, US 6,496,172 B1.

With respect to claim 1 Hirakata discloses a method for driving a semiconductor display device wherein display signals input to pixel electrodes in a vertical line have a same polarity and wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period (FIG. 1A; col. 9, lines 34-67).

In regard to claim 2 Hirakata additionally discloses that the method wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period reduces the flicker (see abstract; col. 5, lines 40-45).

As to claim 3, Hirakata further discloses that the method of driving a semiconductor display device wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period reduces vertical striping (col. 10, lines 40-46).

As to claim 6, Hirakata further teaches that a polarity of display signals input to only some of the pixel electrodes changes in two adjacent frame periods (compare frame periods 1-4 in FIG. 1A, for example).

As to claim 7, Hirakata also discloses a semiconductor display device comprising a source signal line driver circuit 105 (FIG. 2); a gate signal driver circuit (104); a plurality of source signal lines 103; a plurality of gate signal lines 102; a pixel portion (display region 106); a

Art Unit: 2674

display signal generation portion which has a control portion 108, a polarity data signal generation portion 208; a display signal selection portion 109, a + side display signal generation portion 201; a - side display signal generation portion, and wherein display signals input to display electrodes in a vertical line have the same polarity and wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period.

As to claim 8, Hirakata additionally discloses that the method wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period reduces the flicker.

As to claim 9, Hirakata further discloses that the method of driving a semiconductor display device wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period reduces vertical striping.

As to claim 11, Hirakata further teaches that a polarity of display signals input to only some of the pixel electrodes changes in two adjacent frame periods.

As to claim 14 Hirakata further teaches that the semiconductor display device wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed irregularly in a certain fixed period have reduced vertical striping.

As to claims 18-19, 21-22 and 27 Hirakata further teaches that a polarity of display signals input to only some of the pixel electrodes changes in two adjacent frame periods.

2. Claims 4, 10, 12, 13, 15, 20 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cole, US 6,469,684 B1.

With respect to claim 4 Cole discloses a method of driving a semiconductor display device comprising a plurality of pixels 32 (FIG. 4A) each containing a pixel TFT 52 and pixel

Art Unit: 2674

electrode 54; and a liquid crystal formed between the pixel electrode and opposing electrode (col. 7, lines 46-50); wherein display signals are input to the pixel electrode through the pixel TFT, wherein each of the display signal has one of a positive and negative polarity and the signals input to pixel electrodes in vertical line have a same polarity (see Fig. 3 Frame 2, where first two columns - vertical lines have the same polarity for pixel electrodes); and wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input change randomly in a certain fixed period (frame; see Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 47-59).

As to claim 10, Cole discloses a semiconductor display device comprising a source signal line driver circuit 102 (FIG. 5); a gate signal driver circuit (104); a plurality of source signal lines 116; a plurality of gate signal lines 118; a pixel portion (display region 120); a display signal generation portion which has a control portion, a polarity data signal generation portion 152; an alternating current generation portion 156; a display signal selection portion, a + side display signal generation portion 142; a - side display signal generation portion 144, and wherein display signals input to display electrodes in a vertical line have the same polarity and wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed randomly in a certain fixed period.

As to claim 12 Cole further discloses a method of driving a semiconductor display device comprising a plurality of pixels 32 (FIG. 4A) each containing a pixel TFT 52 and pixel electrode 54; and a liquid crystal formed between the pixel electrode and opposing electrode (col. 7, lines 46-50); wherein display signals are input to the pixel electrode through the pixel TFT, wherein each of the display signal has one of a positive and negative polarity and the signals input to pixel electrodes in vertical line have a same polarity (see Fig. 3 Frame 2, where first two

Art Unit: 2674

columns - vertical lines have the same polarity for pixel electrodes); and wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input change randomly in a certain fixed period so that the flicker become difficult to observe (col. 9, lines 39-47).

As to claim 13 Cole discloses a semiconductor display device comprising a source signal line driver circuit 102 (FIG. 5); a gate signal driver circuit (104); a plurality of source signal lines 116; a plurality of gate signal lines 118; a pixel portion (display region 120); a display signal generation portion which has a control portion, a polarity data signal generation portion 152; an alternating current generation portion 156; a display signal selection portion, a + side display signal generation portion 142; a - side display signal generation portion 144, and wherein display signals input to display electrodes in a vertical line have the same polarity and wherein pixels to which display signals having a particular polarity are input are changed randomly in a certain fixed period so that the flicker become difficult to observe.

As to claims 15, 20 and 23 as can be seen from Fig. 3 a polarity of display signals input to only some of the pixel electrodes changes in two adjacent frame periods (compare column 2 in frames 2 and 3 for example).

As to claim 24 Cole discloses a method of driving a semiconductor display device comprising a plurality of pixels 32 (FIG. 4A) each containing a pixel TFT 52 and pixel electrode 54; an opposing electrode and a liquid crystal formed between the pixel electrode and opposing electrode (col. 7, lines 46-50); wherein display signals are input to the pixel electrode through the pixel TFT, wherein each of the display signal has one of a positive and negative polarity and the signals input to pixel electrodes in vertical line have a same polarity (see Fig. 3 Frame 2, where first two columns - vertical lines have the same polarity for pixel electrodes); and wherein pixels

Art Unit: 2674

to which display signals having a particular polarity are input change randomly in a certain fixed period so that the vertical striping become difficult to observe due to random switching of pixel polarities.

As to claims 25 and 26 as can be seen from Fig. 3 a polarity of display signals input to only some of the pixel electrodes have an inverse polarity in two adjacent frame periods.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-15 and 18-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments are directed to claims as amended and are answered by the rejection of claims presented above.

### ***Conclusion***

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Eisen whose telephone number is **(703) 306-2988**.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on **(703) 305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be **mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or **faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314** (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivered responses should be **brought to:** Crystal Park Two, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Sixth Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be **directed to:** Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is **(703) 306-0377**.



Alexander Eisen  
February 19, 2004